

1 **DRAFT**

2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

3 WALLINGFORD ELECTRIC DIVISION

4 100 JOHN STREET

5 WALLINGFORD, CT

6 TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2019

7 6:30 P.M.

TOWN OF  
WALLINGFORD

FEB 11 2019

DEPARTMENT OF  
PUBLIC UTILITIES

8 **MINUTES**

9 **PRESENT:** Chair Robert Beaumont; Commissioners Patrick Birney & Joel Rinebold; Director  
10 Richard Hendershot; Electric Division General Manager Tony Buccheri; Office Manager Tom  
11 Sullivan; Water and Sewer Divisions General Manager Neil Amwake; Office Manager William  
12 Phelan; Recording Secretary Cynthia Kleist; Public: Steve Gale; James Vitali; Steve McLart  
13 Project Engineer; Jim Cassidy, Site Engineer; Matt Darling, New England Retail Properties; Bill  
14 Pursell, Master's Manna

15 Chair Beaumont called the Meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. and the Pledge of Allegiance was  
16 recited.

17 **1. Pledge of Allegiance**

18 **2. Consent Agenda**

- 19 a. Consider and approve Minutes of January 15, 2019.  
20 b. Consider and approve an Electric Division Transfer – A/C 562 – Transmission  
21 Operation Station Expense.  
22 c. Consider and approve an Electric Division Transfer – A/C 582 – Distribution  
23 Operation Station Expense.  
24 d. Consider and approve an Electric Division Transfer – A/C 932 – Maintenance  
25 General Plant.

26 **Motion: Mr. Birney made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Mr. Rinebold**  
27 **seconded the motion**

28 **Vote: 3 ayes**

29 **3. Items Removed from Consent Agenda – NONE**

1 **ELECTRIC DIVISION**

2 **4. Discussion and Possible Action: Billing Issue – Master’s Manna**

3 In his January 8, 2019 letter, Mr. Pursell noted that he was including Master’s Manna’s first  
4 payments in the months that are in excess of the monthly charge. Mr. Pursell stated that he  
5 would continue to pay down the overdue amount in a few months. Mr. Pursell stated in his  
6 letter that he wondered if the late fees could be eliminated from the account which would help  
7 clear past due charges.

8 In his January 31, 2019 letter, Mr. Buccheri noted the handwritten request from Mr. Pursell  
9 requesting the WED waive late payment penalties which have accrued against Master’s Manna’s  
10 account. Mr. Buccheri pointed out in his letter that although the request is well within his \$250  
11 authorization limit, it does not meet the criteria described by the Policy Statement, hence his  
12 forwarding the request to the PUC. Mr. Pursell explained to the Commissioners that the  
13 organization is trying to make ends meet and has paid another \$400 to \$500 down this month.

14 Mr. Sullivan noted that detail was provided in the billing history. He pointed out Master’s Manna  
15 has been carrying balance penalties since October of approximately \$295. Mr. Pursell said he  
16 has tried to think of things to do in order to reduce electrical expenses, noting all LED lighting  
17 has been installed. He noted that Master’s Manna has paid down the last two months and in  
18 within two or three months, can probably pay the entire bill down but is looking for relief on the  
19 late charges of \$295.13. He said he would like to have everything waived. Mr. Sullivan pointed  
20 out that until the bill is paid, there will be additional late charges.

21 Mr. Pursell said he didn’t want this to go on ad-infinitum. Mr. Birney said as he looks at the late  
22 fees, he wondered if Master’s Manna could commit to paying down the balance, maintaining  
23 current bills, and paying down the balance through a finite period of time. He asked Mr. Pursell,  
24 if this goal was reached, would he be amenable to returning in front of the PUC for purposes of  
25 the Commission considering waiving the late fees at that time. He said this would be a  
26 commitment to pay, stay current and then pay down. Mr. Pursell said he had no problem with  
27 this.

28 Chair Beaumont asked the other Commissioners if they would be amenable to making a deal  
29 right now. Both Commissioners agreed to Chair Beaumont’s suggestion.

30 **MOTION: Mr. Birney made a motion that the late fees of Master’s Manna be waived**  
31 **if at the end of six months, Master’s Manna has maintained a current paid status, as**  
32 **well as, satisfied all outstanding fees net of late fees. For avoidance of doubt, if**  
33 **Master’s Manna is late, or doesn’t maintain current status, and does not totally**  
34 **satisfy net fees, then the late fees will not be waived. Mr. Rinebold seconded the**  
35 **motion.**

1 **Vote: 3 ayes**

2 **5. Discussion and Action: Connecticut Energy Assistance Program – 2018/2019**

3 Chair Beaumont said this is an annual program. He asked Mr. Sullivan the cost of this  
4 program over the past two years. Mr. Sullivan said the cost over the past three years have  
5 been between \$80,000 to \$116,000 per year.

6 **Mr. Birney made a motion for the reasons stated in the January 10, 2019 memo**  
7 **from the General Manager, move to approve participation and authorize the Electric**  
8 **Division General Manager to execute. Mr. Rinebold seconded the motion.**

9 **Vote: 3 ayes**

10 **6. Discussion and Action: Budget Transfer – A/C 370 – Distribution Plant - Meters**

11 In his January 30, 2019 memo, Mr. Sullivan explained this is a budget transfer seeking an  
12 additional \$72,000 in Account 370 (Distribution Plant – Meters), which includes labor, overhead  
13 and material costs associated with the installation and testing of new and replacement meters  
14 including an on-going project to replace A-base meters.

15 Mr. Sullivan noted in his memo these functions are performed by the Electric Division's Meter  
16 Technician staff. He said funds are available from three accounts all affected by the shift in  
17 Meter Technician resources to Account 370 Distribution Plant – Meters. He noted the available  
18 amounts are as follows: \$35,000 from Account 586 – Distribution Operations – Meter Expense;  
19 \$29,000 from Account 903 – Customer Records and Collections; and \$8,000 from Account 926  
20 – Employee Pensions and Benefits. Mr. Sullivan noted in his memo that both Account 586 and  
21 Account 903 are the direct result of the shift in labor resources, while Account 926 includes the  
22 overhead associated with the changes to Accounts 586 and 903. He said with the shift in work  
23 to Distribution Plant, that overhead will now be charged to Account 370 – Distribution Plant –  
24 Meters, along with the labor.

25 Mr. Birney asked what percentage of the overall budget did the \$72,000 represent of Account  
26 370. Mr. Sullivan said the original budget for Account 370 was \$150,280. Mr. Birney pointed out  
27 this was almost a 50% increase. Mr. Birney asked how the FY2018/2019 budget number for this  
28 was determined. He wondered if these costs were unanticipated noting the sizable increase. He  
29 also wondered in FY2019-2020 if the Account 370 budget would be increased by 50% because  
30 of the historic incurred costs for FY2018-2019. Mr. Sullivan said there was some adjustment. He  
31 said this amounts to a shifting of dollars and associated overhead from meter department  
32 operations and collections.

33 Mr. Hendershot noted that in capital accounts, all the overhead associated with an hour of labor  
34 has to come to that capital account; if costs shift between two operations accounts, there is no  
35 overhead associated with this, there is a separate account in O&M for pensions and benefits. He

1 pointed out because these are new meters, the labor to install and test them before they are  
2 installed is capital labor and the indirect and overhead costs that go with this capital labor  
3 charge exacerbate the problem.

4 Mr. Rinebold noted that Account 586 looked fairly synergistic and asked if Accounts 903 and  
5 926 were opportunistic; the money was available so it was moved over. Mr. Sullivan explained  
6 this was connected to tracking of the Meter Technician's labor. He noted Account 926 is the  
7 benefits which go along with the charges to Accounts 586 and 903. Chair Beaumont noted this  
8 is just a matter of shifting where labor resources (and associated expenses) are being utilized.  
9 He said one way or another this amount will be spent, even if in other accounts.

10 **Mr. Birney made a motion to approve the Budget Transfer for the reasons stated in**  
11 **the January 30, 2019 memo from the General Manager and for the reasons stated**  
12 **on the record this evening. Mr. Rinebold seconded the motion.**

13 **Vote: 3 ayes**

14 **7. Discussion and Action: Budget Amendment – A/C 372 – Distribution Plant Leased**  
15 **Property – Pvt. Lights**

16 Mr. Buccheri explained this is for the private area lighting, and the \$28,000 cost reflects the  
17 material costs for new private light installations on customer premises and the replacement of  
18 customer's existing high pressure sodium (HPS) lights with LED fixtures. He noted in his  
19 January 30, 2018 memo, that the activity to date and the activity projected for the balance of  
20 the fiscal year will require an additional \$28,000.

21 Mr. Birney wondered if this was all private and why was the town paying for this and not the  
22 private owner. Mr. Hendershot explained we own the lights on the wood poles we also own,  
23 and this service is provided via Rate 6. He said the customers are renting the lights from us.

24 **MOTION: Mr. Birney made a motion for the reasons stated in the January 30, 2019**  
25 **General Manager's memo and for reasons stated on the record tonight, to approve**  
26 **the budget appropriation. Mr. Rinebold seconded the motion.**

27 **Vote: 3 ayes**

28 **WATER/SEWER**

29 **8. Discussion and Action: Public Utilities Commission Endorsement of the Planning Grant**  
30 **Agreement Resolution Concerning the Facility Plan for the Wallingford Water Pollution**  
31 **Control Facility**

32 Mr. Amwake said because the Sewer Division followed the stipulations for quality based  
33 selection regarding the Facility Plan that the Town is eligible for a 55% grant. He said the final

1 invoice was signed this afternoon for the Facility Plan which amounted to \$966,862.14. He said  
2 when the 55% grant was applied, the total grant funding came to \$531,774.18. He said the  
3 Sewer Division already paid for this, so this will be money coming back, but noted because the  
4 Division doesn't have the money in-hand, it will not be reflected in the FY 2019-2020 budget.  
5 Mr. Awmake said he didn't know when the money will be obtained. He noted this is standard  
6 language for the resolution text that came from DEEP so they need a resolution by the  
7 governing body of the municipality which is the Town Council and said he was seeking  
8 endorsement from the PUC for said resolution for the 55% grant. He said behind this, there is a  
9 second document the Town Clerk has to endorse noting that Mayor Dickinson is the authorized  
10 signature for the Town.

11 **MOTION: Mr. Birney made a motion for the reasons outlined in Mr. Amwake's**  
12 **January 9, 2019 memo, updated to January 30, 2019 memo, that the PUC endorse**  
13 **the Planning Grant Agreement Resolution Concerning Facility Plan for the**  
14 **Wallingford Water Pollution Control Facility as amended to incorporate the changes**  
15 **in the Grant. Mr. Rinebold seconded the motion.**

16 **Vote: 3 ayes**

17 **PUBLIC QUESTION & ANSWER PERIOD – 7:02 p.m.**

18 Mr. Gale asked why the residential and commercial heat pump rebates were largely different,  
19 noting one was by the ton and one was by the unit. Mr. Hendershot was this was due to  
20 simplicity for everyone involved. He said he believed at the residential level, it is up to a certain  
21 size, whereas at the commercial level they begin at a minimum size and go up to 30 tons. Mr.  
22 Gale pointed out one that was at 5.4 tons and get \$300 a ton and if the same one was  
23 residential, they would only receive \$500 which is a \$400 difference. Mr. Hendershot said  
24 residential customers have a power load factor. Mr. Gale said the figure used to be \$300 a ton  
25 eight years ago, so it has changed. Mr. Hendershot said this is an incentive program and there  
26 are now higher efficiency units. Mr. Gale asked why the incentives weren't higher.

27 Mr. Gale noted that last December, the PUC decided not to participate in the Microgen Project,  
28 and asked if this was because the WED didn't want to deal with CMEEC anymore or if the WED  
29 was not making money on the project. Chair Beaumont said candidly, this was one of the  
30 reasons. He said the WED was making money on part of it, but there were issues with regard to  
31 the entire program and because of the potential issues, the PUC decided they did not want to  
32 be involved with this anymore. Mr. Gale said going forward in 2021, Pierce comes up. Chair  
33 Beaumont said there is an off ramp at that point if the PUC decides not to do this. He said this  
34 will be decided at that point in time. He said there are not some of the issues with Pierce as  
35 was with Microgen and it may be advisable to keep this as a capacity hedge.

36

1 **PUBLIC QUESTION & ANSWER PERIOD ENDED AT 7:08 p.m.**

2 Chair Beaumont took the agenda in the following order:

3 **11. Discussion and Possible Action: Water Service – 8 Beaumont Avenue**

4 Mr. Darling told the PUC his company, New England Retail Properties, Inc., owns the property  
5 at 800 N. Colony Rd. which is the site of the Tractor Supply Co. store. He explained his  
6 company wants to bring water to a property on 8 Beaumont Rd. which they do not own. Mr.  
7 Cassidy, Site Engineer, explained as part of the development of Tractor Supply, the water main  
8 was extended off of N. Colony Rd up to Beaumont Rd., just to the west of the front access drive  
9 for Tractor Supply. Mr. Cassidy showed the location of the water main on the site plan. He said  
10 the water main was extended approximately 250 ft.

11 Mr. Cassidy pointed out there was contamination on the 801 property and noted there was  
12 contamination on other properties in the area. He said that as part of the development of their  
13 site they were required to perform well water and drinking water sampling for the only  
14 remaining well in the area. He said the existing house on 8 Beaumont Rd. is residential and is  
15 on a private well.

16 Mr. Cassidy noted all the other buildings on Beaumont Rd. are presently served off of N. Main  
17 Street or fed in from N. Colony Rd. from the back side. Mr. Birney asked at what point in the  
18 approval process the well was required to be tested. Mr. Darling explained this was a State  
19 code which required them within a certain period of time the well had to be tested which was  
20 done around the end of last year. Mr. Cassidy said the well, when tested, showed elevated  
21 levels of a solvent, but this level was found to be within acceptable limits for drinking water. He  
22 said as part of the development, his company believed it was appropriate to provide the  
23 property owner with clean water with no elevated levels. Mr. Cassidy said he has gone to the  
24 Water Division to request permission to connect water service from the end of our line into this  
25 house.

26 Mr. Birney noted this was a good neighbor approach to this. Mr. Cassidy pointed out his  
27 company is not required to do this but want to be a good neighbor. He said the Water Division  
28 has told his company to provide water service; the main must be extended into Beaumont Rd.  
29 which would require a 340 ft. extension shown on the site plan as the "orange line". He said in  
30 order to extend the orange line, three storm drainage culverts will have to be crossed. Mr.  
31 Cassidy pointed out the lines cannot go over the culverts because of the elevation, so the water  
32 main will have to be installed underneath which will require the water mains to be down about  
33 9 ft. He said with the extension, the price will be approximately \$64,000 to provide water  
34 service to this one home.

35 Mr. Cassidy said other options were looked into, and noted another option would be to provide  
36 service from N. Main Street through the back of the property located at 801 N. Main Street. He

1 said this would be 930 ft. and a private easement would have to be obtained across the  
2 property. He noted the line would have to cross Padens Brook which would require a DEEP  
3 permit. He said this is not a preferred option by the developer. Mr. Cassidy said the preferred  
4 option would be to provide service off the end of the existing installed main, come across the  
5 front of our property, providing an easement to either the Water Division or to the property  
6 owner at 8 Beaumont Rd. and come into the side of it. He said this property is within their  
7 control and is in a landscaped area making it easy to excavate and a lot more practical  
8 economically. He said he is asking for relief not to extend the water main up Beaumont Rd. but  
9 to provide this individual water service from end of our main to the house. Chair Beaumont  
10 asked how many feet the easement would be. Mr. Amwake said the easement width is 20 ft. He  
11 pointed out Erik Krueger, Sr. Engineer, Water and Sewer Divisions, provided a very  
12 comprehensive and dense memo, noting that 801 N. Colony and 8 Beaumont Rd. would be  
13 asking for an exception to the existing water technical standards which are currently on the  
14 books. He said in Mr. Krueger's memo, there are seven listed conditions the Water Division  
15 would like enforced if this exception to the technical standards were made. Mr. Amwake noted  
16 the Water Division has concerns that this is an exception, and what would this open up to other  
17 properties throughout town. He said we may find ourselves in a future condition where we are  
18 back in front of the PUC asking for an exception.

19 Mr. Birney asked Mr. Amwake if the seven conditions were discussed with the Applicant. Mr.  
20 Amwake said there was no discussion or direct correspondence from us. Mr. Birney asked the  
21 Applicant if they looked at the seven conditions. Mr. Darling responded he had not and  
22 reviewed the seven conditions at the Feb. 5 PUC meeting. Mr. Phelan pointed out that 8  
23 Beaumont Road currently is serviced with well water with no potable problems. Mr. McLarty said  
24 there is a lot of contamination in the area.

25 Mr. Phelan asked if a filtration system could be installed on the well. Mr. McLarty said this would  
26 defeat giving this property potable drinking water. Mr. Amwake summarized the conditions,  
27 noting there would be a 20 ft. easement on the property of the Tractor Supply Company and  
28 the easement would have to meet Water Division form and conditions. He said the only  
29 responsibility that would fall to the Water Division would be the portion between the public  
30 water main in Beaumont Rd. and the water meter which would be a meter pit; the rest of the  
31 responsibility would lie with the property owner. He said the meter and meter pit would be  
32 located on the Tractor Supply property.

33 Mr. Rinebold noted the one-inch copper pipe would be installed at the owner's expense and  
34 owned by the owner and not be publically owned. Chair Beaumont said this is a lateral. Mr.  
35 Hendershot asked Mr. Amwake to affirm that any future owners of the home at 8 Beaumont  
36 Rd., would own the responsibility for this water line that is their water service running  
37 approximately 200 ft. from a meter pit on the commercial property to their west. Mr. Amwake  
38 affirmed this statement. Mr. Vitali, owner of 8 Beaumont Rd., said he was glad to see this is  
39 coming forward and is being considered. He noted there is a slight contamination in the area

1 and his tenant is telling him there is contaminated water. Mr. Vitali said through their efforts  
2 and environmental people, they tested it and he tested it and the suggestion was to install a  
3 charcoal filter which was done. He said ironically, this is a residential home surrounded by  
4 former heavy industrial uses.

5 Mr. Birney asked Mr. Vitali if he spoke to the owners and if he had a preference as to which one  
6 of the three scenarios is implemented. Mr. Vitali said he was thankful for a suggestion and has  
7 not gotten down to the "nitty gritty". He noted the well is in the house's basement. He said he  
8 sold his property to Tractor Supply and not once was it brought up it was mandatory that this  
9 house be hooked up to water. He said this was a kindness out of their heart. Mr. Birney said he  
10 was in favor of moving 8 Beaumont Rd. off of the well water. Mr. Rinebold said he was fine with  
11 approving this now. Chair Beaumont said it is unusual to see someone willing to do something  
12 like this and should be commended.

13 **MOTION: Mr. Rinebold made a motion to approve Option #3 as outlined in Mr.**  
14 **Amwake's January 30, 2019 memorandum with the condition this be a service line**  
15 **connected to the 8-inch main, with a 20 ft. easement with conditions on the**  
16 **easement to hinder either parties use of the easement with metering and also**  
17 **associated connection charges to meet the specifications of the Water Division. Mr.**  
18 **Birney seconded the motion.**

19 **Vote: 3 ayes**

20 **9. Discussion and Action: Request for a Bid Waiver Concerning SCADA Equipment for the**  
21 **Pistapaug Water Treatment Plant**

22 Mr. Amwake told the PUC the Division is looking to upgrade, repair and replace some SCADA  
23 components at the Pistapaug Water Treatment Plant. He said during the design for this project,  
24 two avenues were looked at: one was to go with the legacy equipment which is Bristol Babcock,  
25 now known as Emerson, the second was to use open architecture. Mr. Amwake said there was  
26 a \$479,000 delta between the two and felt it was his responsibility to put this in front of the  
27 PUC. He said the Water Division is requesting a bid waiver to specify Emerson SCADA  
28 components for the upgrade and repair at the Pistapaug Water Treatment Plant as well as  
29 associated tanks and other places where there are SCADA components.

30 Mr. Rinebold asked why the upgrade is needed, did the existing SCADA fail. Mr. Amwake said  
31 the SCADA equipment in place is original to the Water Treatment Plant when it was constructed  
32 in 1983. He said it is functioning now but doesn't know how long this will continue. He pointed  
33 out there was a significant failure this past summer to the point where Pistapaug was staffed  
34 three shifts for a week, with a replacement part costing approximately \$27,300. He said the  
35 cost was covered with existing budget funding.

1 Mr. Rinebold asked what would happen if the Division went out to bid. Mr. Amwake said he is  
2 relying on staff and the Consultants noting this all comes down to programming. He pointed out  
3 with the Emerson system, we can build on it and reprogram, but if we go out with an open  
4 architecture and brand new system, it has to be built and programmed from scratch. He said  
5 this is where the \$470,000 difference comes in; the programming and building the logic. Mr.  
6 Rinebold noted that even if the pricing were the same, it probably would be more comfortable  
7 with the Emerson/Bristol Babcock because of the likeness of the logic in the system. Mr.  
8 Amwake agreed but pointed out he didn't believe he would be here for a bid waiver necessarily  
9 if the costs between the two approaches were equal. He said we run a business and if the price  
10 was the same with the Town Purchase Ordinances, and with the Town not really endorsing bid  
11 waivers, we would probably bid this as an open architecture system. He said he probably  
12 wouldn't be in front of the PUC asking for the bid waiver if the price difference was the same.  
13 Mr. Hendershot noted that if a bid is written, we can't ask for Emerson only.

14 Mr. Rinebold asked where this was in the budget. Mr. Phelan said this was still in the planning  
15 stages and is in a state of flux and not in the current budget. Mr. Hendershot said this may be  
16 in the next budget. Mr. Amwake pointed out this effort originally began in FY 12/13. Chair  
17 Beaumont asked if there were multiple vendors who do the installation work or is it strictly  
18 Emerson or factory reps doing this. Mr. Amwake pointed out that when the chip was purchased  
19 this past summer, it came with an Emerson representative and he was on site for two and a  
20 half days to trouble shoot and ensure the chip worked.

21 Chair Beaumont asked how long the bid waiver was good for noting he didn't ever remember  
22 making an open-ended bid waiver. Mr. Amwake said this is a capital project and would roll over.

23 **MOTION: Mr. Birney made a motion to approve the request for a bid waiver for the**  
24 **SCADA equipment for the Pistapaug Water Treatment Plant for reasons stated in Mr.**  
25 **Amwake's January 30, 2019 memo as well as for reasons stated on the record**  
26 **tonight. Mr. Rinebold seconded the motion.**

27 **Vote: 3 ayes**

28 **10. Discussion and Action: Inflow and Infiltration Removal Program – Requirements for**  
29 **Obtaining Construction Permits.**

30 Mr. Amwake said this is a very granular item and the main reason the Sewer Division is in front  
31 of the PUC is to waive the permit fee for owner occupied single-family dwellings when that  
32 owner undertakes I/I work themselves within the confines of their property. He said currently,  
33 both the Water and Sewer Divisions have a \$20 permit fee for all work. He said this is \$20  
34 universally. He said Corporation Counsel Janis Small recommended putting the revised form in  
35 front of the PUC because at some point the PUC adopted the \$20 permit fee. He noted this is  
36 one of the items where the I/I program is working well. He said if the property is a rental, the

1 \$20 permit would have to be obtained and if the property was commercial/industrial, one would  
2 also have to pay the \$20 and the Contractor would have to be licensed and insured.

3 Mr. Rinebold asked if there was concerned about cooperation of an owner taking on their own  
4 work inconsistent with proper engineering. Mr. Amwake said when I/I work is mitigated, there  
5 is a form which begins a process. He noted this is about working with property owners almost  
6 one on one. He said after the work is done, in order to qualify for the reimbursement, Sewer  
7 Division staff has to go out and inspect the work. He said this isn't going to be a bad DIY  
8 improvement. Mr. Rinebold said this was a good step and likes the program. He asked if  
9 effectiveness can be measured. Mr. Amwake said it is tough, noting a calculation is done and  
10 shown at the second PUC monthly meeting, based on standards how much rainwater is taken  
11 out and is monetized. He said this is similar to water usage and low flow toilets and shower  
12 heads, which is not seen month to month or year to year basis. He said this will be seen over a  
13 period of several years. Mr. Amwake noted results are starting to be seen. He said we see a lot  
14 of no issues currently, but this is fine and is low fruit.

15 **FIRST MOTION: Mr. Birney made a motion for reasons stated in Mr. Amwake's**  
16 **January 30, 2019 memorandum as well as for the reasons stated on the record, to**  
17 **waive the \$20 permit fee in regards to the Inflow and Infiltration Removal Program**

18 Mr. Birney WITHDREW THE FIRST MOTION AND MADE AN AMENDED MOTION:

19 **AMENDED MOTION: Mr. Birney made a motion for the reasons stated in Mr.**  
20 **Amwake's January 30, 2019 memorandum as well as for the reasons stated on the**  
21 **record to adopt the requirements for obtaining construction permits for the Inflow**  
22 **and Infiltration Removal Program Information Sheet. Mr. Rinebold seconded the**  
23 **motion.**

24 **Vote: 3 ayes**

25 **11. Discussion and Action: Resolution – Zemke**

26

27

### **RESOLUTION**

28

29 **WHEREAS,** the Board of Public Utilities Commissioners of the Town of Wallingford  
30 wishes to congratulate

31

**Dennis Zemke**

32

33 Upon his retirement after forty-four years of dedicated service to the Town of Wallingford Water  
34 Division; and



1 **Motion to go into the Executive Session. Made by Mr. Birney seconded by Mr.**  
2 **Rinebold**

3 **ADJOURNMENT**

4 Mr. Birney made a motion to adjourn the Meeting at 30:31 P.M. Mr. Rinebold seconded the  
5 motion which passed unanimously.

6

7 Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

8 Cynthia A. Kleist  
9 Recording Secretary

Joel Rinebold  
Secretary

10